



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/529,587	04/14/2000	JOHN A. DANE	EPRNT-101XX	2045
75	90 12/09/2003		EXAM	INER
Patent Legal Staff			TODD, GREGORY G	
Eastman Kodak	Company			
343 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester,, NY 14650-2201			2157	/3
			DATE MAILED: 12/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ARG				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/529,587	DANE ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gregory G Todd	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 04 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no 						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened of the shortened of the shortened of the checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three new point of the checked.	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THat date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, ension and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 December 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) 🖾 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>3-8,10-12,14-20,23-28 and 30-34</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/529,587

Application No.





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment will not be entered as they raise mutliple issues that would require further search and consideration. Such new issues include using a browser as an ordering interface and for remote accessing, & the photographer sequencing and captioning the photographic images.

Applicants arguments are not persuasive.

Applicants argue Maniwa does not disclose sequencing and labeling at the server as claimed. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., server-side sequencing and labeling) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Claims 32 and 34, in fact, suggest the ordering interface accessing an image profiler that does the sequencing and labeling of images. Figures 16a-18c of the present invention clearly shows the customer providing the sequencing and labeling / captioning of the images. Figures 17b and 16b disclose you set the image sequence and captioning.

Applicants argue Maniwa does not disclose a public network accessible by a browser. However, the proposed amendment will not be entered and thus the argument is moot as the current state of the claims do not disclose a public network accessible by a browser.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100